

## 2014-2015 Adult Education Funding Formula and Allocation\*

Date: February 13, 2014

<b>Total Funding*</b>	
Total ABE Federal + State Program \$	<b>\$21,822,038</b>
DOC Allocation	<b>\$500,000</b>
Remaining Program Money for Alloc.	<b>\$21,322,038</b>

\*Estimated funding available as of February 7, 2014. Actual funding levels will change based on actual Federal and State allocations.

<b>Formula Weights</b> (See Below for Formula Calculation)	
Enrollment	<b>40%</b>
UI Claimants w/o Credential	<b>10%</b>
Performance	<b>50%</b>

R	Counties	Need				Performance		FY15					FY13		Program \$ Change
		Enroll PY 12 & PY 13		UI Claim. w/o cred. (2013)		Earned Reimb PY 12 & PY 13		Program Base <sup>1</sup>	State Base	Federal Base	Performance Allocation <sup>2</sup>	MOE	Base	MOE	Percentage
		#	%	#	%	\$	%								
1	Jasper, Lake, LaPorte, Newton, Porter, Pulaski, Starke	4,719	11%	2018	10%	\$1,620,400	8.8%	\$2,106,248	\$1,382,957	\$723,290	\$371,691	\$ 600,364	\$2,322,035	\$ 600,364	-9%
2	Elkhart, Fulton, Kosciusko, Marshall, St. Joseph	5,201	12%	2905	15%	\$2,027,380	11.1%	\$2,536,445	\$1,665,424	\$871,021	\$447,608	\$ 655,145	\$2,698,920	\$ 655,145	-6%
3	Adams, Allen, DeKalb, Grant, Huntington, LaGrange, Noble, Steuben, Wabash, Wells, Whitlev	4,563	11%	2221	11%	\$2,039,185	11.1%	\$2,340,927	\$1,537,047	\$803,880	\$413,105	\$ 551,490	\$2,264,695	\$ 551,490	3%
4	Benton, Carroll, Cass, Clinton, Fountain, Howard, Miami, Montgomery, Tippecanoe, Tipton, Warren, White	4,510	11%	1460	8%	\$2,117,015	11.6%	\$2,292,226	\$1,505,070	\$787,156	\$404,510	\$ 549,451	\$2,245,795	\$ 549,451	2%
5	Marion, Boone, Hamilton, Hancock, Hendricks, Johnson, Madison, Morgan, Shelbv	11,170	26%	5740	29%	\$4,503,150	24.6%	\$5,479,438	\$3,597,786	\$1,881,652	\$966,960	\$ 1,330,483	\$5,411,786	\$ 1,330,483	1%
6	Blackford, Delaware, Fayette, Henry, Jay, Randolph, Rush, Union, Wayne	2,652	6%	1008	5%	\$1,347,385	7.4%	\$1,423,904	\$934,932	\$488,972	\$251,277	\$ 383,350	\$1,594,378	\$ 383,350	-11%
7	Clay, Parke, Putnam, Sullivan, Vermillion, Vigo	1,697	4%	713	4%	\$610,435	3.3%	\$772,163	\$507,000	\$265,162	\$136,264	\$ 167,920	\$663,429	\$ 167,920	16%
8	Brown, Daviess, Greene, Lawrence, Martin, Monroe, Orange, Owen	1,792	4%	865	4%	\$966,545	5.3%	\$1,014,933	\$666,402	\$348,530	\$179,106	\$ 218,426	\$898,034	\$ 218,426	13%
9	Bartholomew, Dearborn, Decatur, Franklin, Jackson, Jefferson, Jennings, Ohio, Ripley, Switzerland	2,244	5%	848	4%	\$1,106,645	6.0%	\$1,184,846	\$777,967	\$406,879	\$209,090	\$ 259,771	\$1,061,509	\$ 259,771	12%
10	Clark, Crawford, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, Washington	2,410	6%	703	4%	\$1,027,965	5.6%	\$1,156,349	\$759,256	\$397,093	\$204,062	\$ 236,555	\$963,007	\$ 236,555	20%
11	Dubois, Gibson, Knox, Perry, Pike, Posey, Spencer, Vanderburgh, Warrick	1,741	4%	978	5%	\$962,130	5.2%	\$1,014,560	\$666,157	\$348,402	\$179,040	\$ 232,680	\$973,406	\$ 232,680	4%
SUBTOTALS		42,699	100%	19,459	100%	\$18,328,235	100.0%	\$21,322,038	\$14,000,000	\$7,322,038	\$3,762,713	\$ 5,185,635	\$21,096,995	\$ 5,185,635	
IDOC	IN Dept. of Corrections							\$500,000		\$500,000	\$88,235	\$6,217,509	\$500,000	\$ 6,217,509	
							TOTALS	\$21,822,038	\$14,000,000	\$7,822,038	\$3,850,948	\$11,403,144	\$21,596,995	\$11,403,144	

<sup>1</sup>Base Allocations were determined as follows:

$$= \text{Total Funds} * \left( \frac{En_R}{En_{ST}} * En \text{ Wt} + \frac{UI_R}{UI_{ST}} * UI \text{ Wt} + \frac{Reim_R}{Reim_{ST}} * Perf. \text{ Wt} \right)$$

### Where:

$En_R / En_{ST}$  = PY 2012 and PY 2013 enrollment for Region / enrollment for the same time period for state

$UI_{R,ST}$  = 2013 UI Claimants for Region/2013 UI Claimants for State

$Reim_R / Reim_{ST}$  = Total Dollars Earned in Outcomes per the **Adult Education Reimbursement Schedule** for Region / Total Dollars Earned in Outcomes per the Schedule for the State

Reimbursement accrual is from July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013 *minus* the reimbursement received for enrollment and for EL/Civics

<sup>2</sup> These dollars may be earned by each region via the performance incentive schedule after a region has earned its base allocation in terms of the performance incentive schedule.